1	ALTSHULER BERZON LLP	
2	EVE CERVANTEZ (SBN 164709) ecervantez@altshulerberzon.com	
3	JONATHAN WEISSGLASS (SBN 185008)	
3	jweissglass@altshulerberzon.com	
4	DANIELLE E. LEONARD (SBN 218201)	
5	dleonard@altshulerberzon.com	
	MEREDITH A. JOHNSON (SBN 291018) mjohnson@altshulerberzon.com	
6	TONY LOPRESTI (SBN 289269)	
7	tlopresti@altshulerberzon.com	
	177 Post Street, Suite 300	
8	San Francisco, CA 94108	
9	Telephone: (415) 421-7151	
7	Facsimile: (415) 362-8064	
10	COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLL	\mathbf{C}
11	ANDREW N. FRIEDMAN (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) afriedman@cohenmilstein.com GEOFFREY GRABER (SBN 211547) ggraber@cohenmilstein.com SALLY M. HANDMAKER (SBN 281186)	
11		
12		
13		
13	shandmaker@cohenmilstein.com	
14	ERIC KAFKA (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) ekafka@cohenmilstein.com	
15	1100 Novy Vouls Avo NW	
13	Suite 500, West Tower	
16	Washington, DC 20005	
17	Telephone: (202) 408-4600	
17	Facsimile: (202) 408-4699	
18	Load Plaintiffe' Counsel	
10	Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
21	IN RE ANTHEM, INC. DATA BREACH	Case No: 15-md-02617-LHK (NC)
22	LITIGATION	Case No. 13-ma-02017-Link (NC)
22		SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
23		MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
24		CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
25		Date: August 17, 2017
25		Time: 1:30 p.m.
26		Judge: Lucy H. Koh
27		Crtrm: 8, 8th Floor
27		
28		

I. INTRODUCTION

At the Court's suggestion, the Parties have amended the proposed Settlement Agreement and Release to clarify the identity of the persons and entities being released, and made minor corrections to the proposed Notices, Claims Forms, and [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order. The Amendment to Settlement Agreement and Release ("Amendment"), including the revised Notices, Claims Forms, and [Proposed] Order, is attached as Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Eve H. Cervantez In Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Supplemental Cervantez Declaration"). Redlines comparing the revised proposed Notices and Claims Forms with those originally submitted are Exhibit B to the Supplemental Cervantez Declaration.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval of the class action settlement, as amended (hereinafter "Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement") for all the reasons set forth in our original brief and below, because the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and provides an excellent result for the Settlement Class.

II. ARGUMENT

A. The Amendment Clarifies the Persons and Entities to be Released.

The Amendment clarifies the persons and entities as to whom the Released Claims are released, by adding language to Section 13.1 after "every other person or entity," as follows:

As of the Effective Date, all Settlement Class Representatives and all Settlement Class Members absolutely and unconditionally release and discharge any and all Released Claims against each and every Defendant, their current, former, and future Affiliates, Parents and Subsidiaries, and every other person or entity, [new language begins here] including but not limited to Defendants' customers; any company that provided information technology, information security auditing, or information security support to Defendants; any person or entity that provided data to Defendants that was implicated in the Data Breach; any insurance brokers; the Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Members' employers, health plans, and plan fiduciaries; HITRUST; AllClear ID, Inc.; Mandiant, Inc.; Fire Eye, Inc.; R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company; IBM; Optiv Security Inc.; Microsoft; the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; and any state or federal health insurance exchange. Provided, however, that the Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Members are not releasing (a) the cyber attackers who committed the criminal acts involved in the Data Breach, and (b) any person or entity that intentionally misuses the Personal Information stolen in the Data Breach for unlawful purposes.

¹ All capitalized words have the meaning set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Release.

Thus, the Amendment explains that Settlement Class Members are *not* releasing the Released Claims (claims "related to or arising from any of the facts alleged in any of the Actions," Settlement Agreement section 1.32) with respect to either the original cyber attackers who stole Class Members' personal information from Anthem ("the Stolen PII"), or with respect to any other person or entity who intentionally misuses Class Members' Stolen PII for unlawful purposes.

The Amendment also includes an exemplar list of the persons and entities who, in addition to Defendants, are being released. This exemplar list will help Settlement Class Members understand that they are releasing more than just the Defendants in exchange for the substantial benefits the Settlement confers. The Court should preliminarily approve the amended class action settlement, which narrows and clarifies the release.

B. The Notices and Claims Forms Are Revised.

The parties have revised the Notices to reflect the changes to the release language described above. At the Court's suggestion, and also based on the Parties' own review to make sure that the Notices are clear and understandable, the Parties have made the following changes to the Notices and Claim Forms, which are attached to the Amendment (Suppl. Cervantez Decl. Ex. A) as Exhibits 10-12. Redlines reflecting the changes are attached as Exhibit B to the Supplemental Cervantez Declaration, as follows:

Postcard Notice (Amendment, Exhibit 10(a); Exhibit B-10(a)): (1) Explained the eligibility requirements for obtaining Alternative Compensation rather than Credit Monitoring Services; (2) Separated out the amount that may be requested for attorneys' fees from the amount that may be requested for litigation expenses.

Long Form Notice (Amendment, Exhibit 10(b); Exhibit B-10(b)): (1) Emphasized that Class Members should read the "entire" Notice carefully; (2) Clarified the deadline for filing a claim for Out-of-Pocket-Costs (which is pegged to the Final Approval date, a date that the Parties will not know when Notice is sent); (3) Explained that Class Members who opt out are not entitled to benefits under the Settlement; (4) Clarified that even Settlement Class Members who "do nothing" can still access Fraud

Resolution Services; (5) Clarified how the payment amount for Alternative Compensation will be calculated; (6) Clarified instructions for filing claims for Credit Monitoring Services or Alternative Compensation; (7) Clarified that the Settlement Fund is being used to pay for Fraud Resolution Services.

Email Notice (Amendment, Exhibit 10(c); Exhibit B-10(c)): Clarified requirements for obtaining Alternative Compensation; (2) Clarified that the Notice is only a summary.

Publication Notice (Amendment, Exhibit 10(d); Exhibit B-10(d)): (1) Clarified requirements for obtaining Alternative Compensation; (2) Clarified that the Notice is only a summary.

Claim Form for Credit Monitoring Services or Alternative Compensation (Amendment, Exhibit 11, Exhibit B-11): Clarified that the mailed Postcard Notice can be used only to request Credit Monitoring Services, and cannot be used to request Alternative Compensation.

Claim Form for Out-of-Pocket Costs (Amendment, Exhibit 12): (1) Clarified deadlines for submission of claims for Out-of-Pocket Costs; (2) Clarified requirements for obtaining Alternative Compensation; (3) Clarified documentation requirements.

C. The [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order Has Been Clarified.

Due to the delay in obtaining preliminary approval and finalizing the text of the proposed Notices and Claims Forms, which affects when the Settlement Administrator can begin printing and sending out Notices, and due to the length of time needed to mail 50 million postcards, the Parties propose that the schedule be continued one week from what was originally proposed, as reflected in the Revised [Proposed] Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (Exhibit 9 to the Amendment).

The [Proposed] Order has also been clarified to accurately reflect the Settlement Agreement and proposed Notices, and to conserve judicial economy, by requiring that objections be sent to both the Court *and* to the Settlement Administrator. As originally drafted, the Order could have been read to require that objections be sent only to the Court, which could have required the Court to file, serve, or otherwise notify the Parties of any objections.

1 Respectfully submitted, 2 ALTSHULER BERZON LLP 3 Dated: August 24, 2017 By: /s/ Eve Cervantez 4 Eve Cervantez (SBN 164709) ecervantez@altshulerberzon.com 5 Jonathan Weissglass (SBN 185008) jweissglass@altshulerberzon.com 6 Danielle E. Leonard (SBN 218201) dleonard@altshulerberzon.com 7 Meredith A. Johnson (SBN 291018) mjohnson@altshulerberzon.com 8 Tony LoPresti (SBN 289269) tlopresti@altshulerberzon.com 9 177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94108 10 Telephone: (415) 421-7151 Facsimile: (415) 362-8064 11 COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC 12 Andrew N. Friedman (admitted pro hac vice) afriedman@cohenmilstein.com 13 Geoffrey Graber (SBN 211547) ggraber@cohenmilstein.com 14 Sally M. Handmaker (SBN 281186) shandmaker@cohenmilstein.com 15 Eric Kafka (admitted pro hac vice) ekafka@cohenmilstein.com 16 1100 New York Ave. NW Suite 500, West Tower 17 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 408-4600 18 Facsimile: (202) 408-4699 19 Co-Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel 20 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN LLP 21 Michael Sobol 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor 22 San Francisco, CA 94111 23 GIRARD GIBBS LLP Eric Gibbs 24 David Berger 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 25 Oakland, California 94612 Phone: (510) 350-9700 26 Fax: (510) 350-9701 27 Plaintiffs' Steering Committee 28